surements are made. For this purpose the volume of the volumometers is calibrated as a function of the displacement of its upper end using density values obtained by isochoric measurements. It turns out that for volume changes smaller than about $\pm 15 \%$ even under these extreme conditions the volumedisplacement relation is reproducible although not linear, as was also observed by Babb et al. ${ }^{9}$ at room temperature. If the volume change of the bellows caused by melting of the salt at 1 bar exceeds about 15\% it may be partly irreversible. In this case a redetermination of the zero point volume of the bellows and of its crossection is necessary with the aid of density values determined with volumometers of type 1 . This leads to an additional uncertainty in the final density values of about $0.15 \%$ (see also Sect. 2.4 and Table 1).

### 2.4 Determination of Density

The density of molten KCl corresponding to a measured pair of temperature and pressure is calculated from

$$
\begin{align*}
\varrho(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~T})=m / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~T})=m / & {\left[V_{0}\left(1 \text { bar, } 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right.}  \tag{1}\\
& \left.+\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}}+\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~B}}+\Delta V_{\mathrm{P}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the amount of salt in the volumometer, $V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ the volume of the volumometer at P and T and zero displacement, $V_{0}\left(1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ the calibrated volume at 1 bar and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}}$ the volume correction of the total volumometer due to thermal expansion as if it were totally made from the material of the rigid part. $\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{B}}$ a correction to $\Delta V_{T, \mathrm{C}}$ taking into account, that the bellows and the rigid part are made from different materials, $\Delta V_{\mathrm{P}}$ the correction due to compression of the volumometer. All the corrections are temperature and pressure dependent.

The corrections due to thermal expansion are calculated according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}}=3 V_{0}\left(1 \text { bar, } 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \alpha_{\mathrm{C}}[t-20],  \tag{2}\\
& \Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~B}}=-2 V_{0, \mathrm{~B}}\left(1 \text { bar, } 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \Delta \alpha_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}}[t-20], \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the average linear thermal expansion coefficient between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the measuring temperature, $\Delta \alpha_{C-B}=\alpha_{C}-\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the difference between the coefficients for cell and bellows materials, $t$ is the measuring temperature in degrees centigrade, and $V_{0, \mathrm{~B}}\left(1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is the volume of the bellows at $1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and zero displacement. Equation (3) is taking into account that the correction for the
difference in thermal expansion between the cell and bellows materials in axial direction is already included in the displacement measurement.

The values used for the different thermal expansion coefficients in the range between 800 and $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\mathrm{C}} & =(16.4+0.003 t \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-6}\left(\text { see }^{10}\right), \\
\alpha_{\mathrm{B}} & =(12.9+0.004 t) \cdot 10^{-6}\left(\text { see }^{11}\right), \\
\Delta \alpha_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}} & =(3.5-0.001 t) \cdot 10^{-6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relative uncertainty of $\alpha_{\mathrm{C}}$ amounts to $1.6 \%$, that of $\Delta \alpha_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}}$ is estimated to be $8 \%$. The corresponding errors in $V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ are $0.09 \%$ and $0.02 \%$, respectively. The pressure dependence of the thermal expansion coefficient is small and can therefore be neglected in the thermal expansion correction.

Since the overall correction for the compression of the volumometer is of the order $0.5 \%$ in $V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$, it is not necessary to distinguish between the compressibilities of the two different materials and to take into account the pressure dependence of the compressibility when calculating the correction which can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_{\mathrm{P}}=-\beta(T) P\left[V_{0}(1 \mathrm{bar},\right. & \left.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \left.+\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}}+\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~B}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The compressibility $\beta(T)$ may be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(T)=\frac{3[1-2 v(T)]}{E(T)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with data for Young's modulus $E(T)^{12}$ and Poisson's ratio $\nu(T)^{13}$ extrapolated beyond $820^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It turns out that $v$ does not show a systematic variation with temperature. It thus has its usual value $\nu=0.3$ over the whole temperature range. For $E(T)$ the following relation holds:

$$
E(T)=22860-11.6 t\left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{kp} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}\right) .
$$

The value for $\beta$ at room temperature obtained from Eq. (5) is $6.02 \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{bar}^{-1}$. It is in good agreement with data for the constituent pure metals obtained by Bridgman ${ }^{14}$ from high-pressure experiments. He also demonstrated that the pressure dependence of the compressibility may be expressed as a linear function of pressure and that the pressure dependent term is contributing less than $5 \%$ below 6 kbar. Hence it is justified to neglect it here.

The estimated error of the compressibility is about $10 \%$ below 2 kbar and about $20 \%$ for the highest pressures. This leads to errors in $V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ of $0.025 \%$ and $0.13 \%$, respectively.

### 2.4 Uncertainties in Density

The uncertainties in the final density values caused by various experimental errors may be obtained from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \varrho / \varrho= \Delta m / m+\left[\Delta V_{0}\left(1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+\Delta V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~T})\right. \\
&+q_{0}\left(\Delta l-\Delta l_{0}\right)  \tag{6}\\
&\left.+\Delta V_{\text {cal }}\right] / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~T}) \\
&+\alpha \Delta T+\beta \Delta P
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbols appearing for the first time have the following significance: $\Delta m$ : error in the amount of salt in the volumometer, $\Delta V_{0}\left(1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : error in volume calibration; $\Delta V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ : error due to inaccuracies of the corrections $\Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}}, \Delta V_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{B}}$, and $\Delta V_{\mathrm{P}} ; \Delta l$ : uncertainty in displacement measurement; $\Delta l_{0}$ : reduction of $\Delta l$ by fixing the zero point of displacement for type 2 volumometers by calibration (see Sect. 2.2); $\Delta V_{\text {cal }}$ : uncertainty in calibration of $V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ and $q_{0}$ of type 2 volumometers after an irreversible volume change (see Sect. 2.3); $\Delta T, \Delta P$ : errors in temperature and pressure measurement, respectively (see Sect. 2.1) ; $\alpha, \beta$ : thermal expansion coefficient and isothermal compressibility of the salt, respectively.

The numerical values of the uncertainties for the two different types of volumometers are given in Table 1 for various pressures.

Table 1. Relative uncertainties of final density value due to various experimental errors.

| Volumometers <br> Pressure | Type 1 |  | Type 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 bar | 2000 bar | 6000 bar |
| $\Delta m / m$ | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.008 |
| $\Delta V_{0}\left(1 \mathrm{bar}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.042 |
| $\Delta V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}) / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ | 0.090 | 0.115 | 0.240 |
| $q_{0} \Delta l l V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.250 |
| $q_{0} \Delta l_{0} / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ | - | - | -0.150 |
| $\Delta V_{\text {cal }} / V_{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T})$ | - | $\overline{0}$ | 0.150 |
| $\alpha \Delta T$ | 0.085 | 0.062 | 0.041 |
| $\beta \triangle P$ | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.012 |
| total $\Delta \varrho / \varrho$ | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.59 |
| $\Delta \varrho / \varrho$ | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.41 |
| when based on values at 1 bar |  |  |  |

## 3. Results

Following the procedure described above twenty quasi-isochores with 431 experimental points and nine isotherms with 87 points are measured covering
the temperature, pressure, and density ranges from 770 to $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from 1 to 6000 bar , and from 1.36 to $1.67 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The uncorrected points of some of the quasi-isochores are shown in Fig. 4, where the points obtained upon heating and cooling in different cycles are marked by different symbols. As may be seen from the figure, the scatter of the experimental points is very small and statistical, thus indicating that there is neither hysteresis nor any time-dependent effect.

All unsmoothed experimental points corrected for the effects described in Sects. 2.2 and 2.4 are collected in Table 2.

Since PVT data for molten KCl at high pressures have not been published previously, a comparison with data from other sources is not feasible. Density values at normal pressure obtained by fitting a second order polynomial to the quasi-isochores shown in Fig. 4, subsequent numerical extrapolation of $T(\mathrm{P})$ to normal pressure and calculating the corresponding density values from Eq. (1) are shown


Fig. 4. Uncorrected experimental points on quasi-isochores and isochores (full lines) corresponding to quasi-isochores $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{7}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{9}$. heating first run, O cooling first run, $\Delta$ heating second run, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ cooling second run, extrapolated points at 1 bar (see text).

